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The graphof n-node, whose all spanning trees are bad, is the undirected cycle of n-nodes. Now we need to prove that any spanning tree of a cycle is a bad spanner, or . We see that the spanning tree of a cycle can be constructed by removing one edge from the cycle, which happens to be also a minimum spanning tree (MST). We know that in a cycle,  in the case when two nodes u and v are neighbors of the cycle. However, when one edge is removed to obtain the MST G’, then, in the case when these two nodes u and v belong to the endpoints of the removed edge. In other words, they have to go around the other direction along the cycle, where the number of edges is n – 1. Plugging into the equation:

. The smallest cycle that can be formed is n = 3.   
Plugging in both equations, we have:

=> No t can satisfy these equations.

We can see that by using induction step, with t larger than 3, these equations are also not satisfied. Therefore, the n-node graph where any spanning tree is a bad spanner is a cycle of n-nodes.
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First of all, the subgraph G’ is initialized with all the vertices and no edges. If there are no paths connecting u and v in G’, then. We can notice that for all edges in the graph, if there are not any other paths connecting u and v except .   
We can analyze the three cases:  
(1) when u and v has not been connected  
Edges are gradually added to the subgraph. Because of that, when u has been connected to the subgraph by some edges and v is not connected to the subgraph, then is always correct. When E(u,v) is added into E’, v is connected to the subgraph, making G’ a spanning graph of G

(2) is false when u and v has been connected before. In other words, we have, which already satisfies the t-spanner condition, so this edge doesn’t need to be added to the graph at all.

(3)  is true when u and v has been connected before. This breaks the t-spanner condition so the edge is added to the subgraph. After the edge is added to the subgraph, we have updated a new shorter path between u and v, which is => . It happens that  for all integers and. This satisfies the t-spanner condition  
=> The algorithm yields a t-spanner for G.
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First, we assume that graph G has many cycles and the length of the shortest cycle is called the girth. A proper subgraph means that the subgraph is a spanning tree and its number of edges is strictly smaller than number of edges of graph G. In other words, at least one edge must be removed from graph G to obtain the proper subgraph. If this edge makes the graph disconnected, t would be infinity and it will never have a proper subgraph that is a t-spanner.   
=> We can only remove an edge from a cycle.   
Observation: consider an edge E(u, v) belongs to any cycles in G. Then  and let denote the length of this cycle as k. Of course, by definition. When the edge is removed, the shortest distance between u and v is to go around the cycle in the opposite direction   
=>   
Another condition we are given is =>  
=> The graph G has  => the spanner property is not satisfied for the vertices (u,v) when the edge E(u,v) is removed => There does not exist any proper subgraph that is a t-spanner (proven)
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We can use proof by contradiction here.  
First, we assume  => The smallest cycle in this graph has at most t edges.   
We denote the vertices that are connected by the edge with the maximum weigh is and. Due to the non-decreasing ordering of the edge weights, we see that is processed at the last stage, where the smallest cycle has at most t – 1 edges. According to the algorithm, this last edge is added only if . However, because the edge has not been added yet, we have to consider the opposite direction =>  because we know that  is the largest weight =>  (contradiction)  
=> The largest weight edge is not added to the cycle so the smallest cycle in this graph can have more than t edges => (proven)